Phylogenetic Trees

What They Are Why We Do It & How To Do It

> Presented by Amy Harris Dr Brad Morantz

Overview

- What is a phylogenetic tree
- Why do we do it
- How do we do it
- Methods and programs
- Parallels with Genetic Algorithms (time permitting)

Definition: Phylogenetic Tree



Copyright by the Trustees of the Ansel Adams Publishing Rights Trust.

A tree (graphical representation) that shows evolutionary relationships based upon common ancestry. Describes the relationship between a set of objects (species or taxa). [Israngkul]

Phylogenetic Tree

- Finding a tree like structure that defines certain ancestral relationships between a related set of objects. [Reijmers et al, 1999]
- Composed of branches/edges and nodes
- Can be gene families, single gene from many taxa, or combination of both. [Baldauf, 2003]

Terminology

- Branches connections between nodes
- Evolutionary tree patterns of historical relationships between the data
- Leaves terminal node; taxa at the end of the tree
- Nodes represent the sequence for the given data; Internal nodes correspond to the hypothetical last common ancestor of everything arising from it. [Baldauf, 2003]
- Taxa (a car for hire) individual groups
- Tree (number after two) mathematical structure consisting of nodes which are connected by branches

Rooted vs Unrooted

- Rooted tree
- Directed tree
 - Has a path
- Accepted common ancestor
- Doesn't blow over in the wind

- Unrooted Tree
- Typical results
- Unknown common
 - ancestor
- Common in Arizona,
 blowing around
 plains





Rooted Phylogenetic Tree



Unrooted Phylogenetic Tree



Combinatorial Explosion

- Number of topologies =
 - Product i =3 to x (2i 5)
 - Ten objects yields 2,027,025 possible trees
 - 25 objects yields about 2.5 x 10²⁸ trees
- Branches
 - 2x -3 branches
 - X are peripheral
 - (X 3) are interior

Why Do We Do It?

- Understand evolutionary history
 - Show visual representation of relationships and origins
 - Healthcare
 - Origins of diseases
 - Show how they are changing
 - Help produce cures and vaccines
- Model allows calculations to determine distances
 - Forensics
 - Our history

Our Family



Terminal Node



Evolution

- Theory that groups of organisms change over time so that descendants differ structurally and/or functionally from their ancestors. [Pevsner, 2003]
- Biological process by which organisms inherit morphological and physiological features than define a species. [Pevsner, 2003]
- Biological theory that postulating that the various types of animals and plants have their origin in other preexisting types and that distinguishable differences are due to modifications in successive generations. [Encyclopaedia Britannica, 2004]

Example



Ways to do it

Parsimony

- Maximum Likelihood Estimator
- Distance based methods
- Clustering
- Genetic algorithm

* While there are numerous methods, these are among the most popular





Parsimony

Character based

- Search for tree with fewest number character changes that account for observed differences
- Best one has the least amount of evolutionary events required to obtain the specific tree

Advantages:

- Simple, intuitive, logical, and applicable to most models
- Can be used on a wide variety of data
- More powerful approach than distance to describe hierarchical relationship of genes & proteins (Pevsner, 2003)

Disadvantages;

- No mathematical origins
- Fooled by same multiple or circuitous changes

Parsimony Methods

 The best tree is the one that minimizes the total number of mutations at all sites [Israngkul]

 The assumption of physical systematics is that genes exist in a nested hierarchy of relatedness and this is reflected in a hierarchical distribution of shared characters in the sequence. (Pevsner, 2003)

Maximum Likely Hood



Maximum Likelihood Estimator

- Tree with highest probability of evolving from given data
- Mathematical Process
 - Complex Math many have problems with this
- Advantages:
 - Can be used for various types of data including nucleotides and amino acids
 - Usually the most consistent
- Disadvantages:
 - Computationally intense
 - Can be fooled by multiple or circuitous changes

Distance Based Methods

- Uses distances between leaves
 - Upper triangular matrix of distances between taxa
- Percent similarity
- Metric
 - Number of changes
 - Distance score
- Produces edge weighted tree
 - Least squares error
 - Can use Matlab

Distances

- Hamming distance
 - n = # sites different
 - N = alignment length
 - D = 100% x (n/N)
 - ignore information of evolutionary relationship
- Jukes-Cantor
 - D = -3/4 ln (1-4P/3)
- Kimura
 - Transitions more likely than transversions
 - Transitions given more weight

Distances

The walk from the parking lot

Now that's far!



Least Squares

- Start with distance matrix
- Pick tree type to start
- Calculate distances to minimize SSE
- Try other trees
- Time consuming
- Exhaustive search will yield optimal tree, but also may take I-o-n-g time

Example of Distance Matrix

	Human(A)	Chimp(B)	Gorilla(C)	Orang-utan(D)	Gibbon(E)
Human(A)	-	.09190	.1083	.1790	.2057
Chimp(B)	.0919/.0821	-	.1134	.1940	.2168
Gorilla(C)	.1057/.1083	.1161/.1330	-	.1882	.2170
Orang-utan(D)	.1806/.1838	.1910/.1838	.1895/.1838	-	.2172
Gibbon(E)	.2067/.2142	.2171/.2142	.2156/.2142	.2172/.2142	-

Clustering

- Genetic algorithm
- Neighbor joining
- UPGMA
- PAUP contains the last two

Clustering

- Neighbor joining
 - Uses distances between pairs of taxa
 - i.e. Number of nucleotide differences
 - Not individual characters
 - Builds shortest tree by complex methods
- UPGMA
 - Unweighted Pair Group Method w/ Arithmetic Mean
 - Starts with first two most similar nodes
 - Compares this average/composite to the next
 - Never uses original nodes again

Summary

Real life is usually not the optimum tree
The best model is one that is obtained by several methods

Comments

Sometimes difficult because

- Do not have complete fossil record
- Parallel evolution
- Character reversals
- Circuitous changes
- Bifurcating vs polytomy split
- No animals, plants, or robots were hurt in the making of this presentation

References

- Baldauf, S.: 2003, Phylogeny for the faint of heart: a tutorial, Trends in Genetics, 19(6) pp. 345-351
- Encyclopaedia Brittanica; 2004, The Internet http://www.brittannica.com
- Futuyma, D; 1998, Evolutionary Biology, Third Edition, Sinauer Associates, Sunderland MA
- Israngkul, W; 2002, Algorithms for Phylogenetic Tree Reconstruction, the Internet: biohpc.learn.in.th/files/contents2003/Worawit/ Algorithms
- Opperdoes, F., 1997 Construction of a Distance Tree Using Clustering with the UPGMA, the Internet : http://www.icp.ucl.ac.be/~opperd/private/upgma.html
- Pevsner, J.; 2003, Bioinformatics & Functional Genomics, Wiley, Hoboken NJ
- Reijmers, T., Wehrens, R., Daeyaert, F., Lewi, P., & Buydens, L.; 1999, Using genetic algorithms for the construction of phylogenetic trees: application to *G*-protein coupled receptor sequences, BioSystmes (49) pp. 31-43
- Renaut, R., 2004 Computational BioSciences Class, CBS-520, Arizona State University

Genetic Algorithms

- Optimizing distance clustering (Reijmers et al)
- Optimal Distance method
 - Not guaranteed the most optimal, only near optimal
- Exhaustive exploration not guaranteed
- Same solution may be checked multiple times
- Simulated time evolution (Brad's project for winter break)